

Environmental Product Declaration

# Color coated steel sheets and coils

**EPD of multiple products, based on the average results of the product group**

**In accordance with ISO 14025:2006 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC:2021**

Programme: The International EPD® System, [www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com)

Programme operator: EPD International AB

EPD owner: SSAB Europe Oy

EPD registration number: EPD-IES-0023968

Publication date: 2025-06-16

Valid until: 2030-06-04

**SSAB**

# Contents

1. General information	3
1.1 SSAB's vision – A stronger, lighter and more sustainable world	4
1.2 Company information	4
2. Product information	4
2.1 Product technical information and applications	4
2.2 Product description	4
2.3 Labeling and packaging	5
3. Production and transportation	5
3.1 Production sites	5
3.2 Transportation	5
4. LCA	6
4.1 LCA information	6
4.2 Product content declaration	9
4.3 Environmental performance indicators results	9
5. References	12
Annex 1. Typical chemical contents of SSAB color coatings	13

# 1. General information

## PROGRAM INFORMATION

<b>Program:</b>	The International EPD® System
<b>Address:</b>	EPD International AB Box 210 60 SE-100 31 Stockholm Sweden
<b>Website:</b>	<a href="http://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>
<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:info@environdec.com">info@environdec.com</a>

### Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification

#### Product Category Rules (PCR)

Core product category rules: CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR.

Product category rules: PCR 2019:14 Construction products. Version 1.3.4. Date 2024-04-30.

Product group classification: UN CPC 412.

PCR review was conducted by: The Technical Committee of the International EPD System. See [www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com) for a list of members.  
Review chair: Claudia A. Peña, University of Concepción, Chile. The review panel may be contacted via the Secretariat [www.environdec.com/contact](http://www.environdec.com/contact).

#### Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

LCA accountability: Lisa Hallberg, IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute.

#### Third-party verification

Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via:

☒ EPD verification by individual verifier

Third-party verifier: David Althoff Palm, Dalemarken AB.

Approved by: The International EPD® System

Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier:

☒ Yes   ☐ No

[Procedure for follow-up the validity of the EPD is at minimum required once a year with the aim of confirming whether the information in the EPD remains valid or if the EPD needs to be updated during its validity period. The follow-up can be organized entirely by the EPD owner or together with the original verifier via an agreement between the two parties. In both approaches, the EPD owner is responsible for the procedure being carried out. If a change that requires an update is identified, the EPD shall be re-verified by a verifier]

***The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.***

EPDs within the same product category but registered in different EPD programmes, or not compliant with EN 15804, may not be comparable. For two EPDs to be comparable, they must be based on the same PCR (including the same version number) or be based on fully-aligned PCRs or versions of PCRs; cover products with identical functions, technical performances and use (e.g. identical declared/functional units); have equivalent

system boundaries and descriptions of data; apply equivalent data quality requirements, methods of data collection, and allocation methods; apply identical cut-off rules and impact assessment methods (including the same version of characterization factors); have equivalent content declarations; and be valid at the time of comparison. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.

## 1.1 SSAB'S VISION – A STRONGER, LIGHTER AND MORE SUSTAINABLE WORLD

SSAB is a global steel company with a leading position in high-strength steels and related services. The company is a frontrunner in the green transformation of the steel industry and aims to largely eliminate carbon dioxide emissions from its operations and together with suppliers and customers create a fossil-free value chain.

SSAB's production sites are in Sweden, Finland and the USA and have an annual crude steel production capacity of 8.8 million tonnes. SSAB Europe is responsible for sales of strip, heavy plate, and tubular products in Europe as well as for the global business in the Automotive customer segment. SSAB Special Steels has global responsibility for sales of SSAB's quenched and tempered (Q&T) steels and advanced high-strength steels (AHSS). SSAB Americas is the largest heavy plate producer in North America and has a strong position based on cost efficiency and quality.

## 1.2 COMPANY INFORMATION

### EPD owner:

SSAB Europe Oy, Kaisa Ahvonen, Harvialantie 420, 13300 Hämeenlinna, Finland.

### Description of the organizations:

- SSAB Europe is responsible for strip, heavy plate, and tubular products in Europe as well as for the global business in the Automotive customer segment. SSAB Europe is also responsible for color coated products.

### Name and location of production sites:

- SSAB Europe Oy (Raahe, Finland):  
Rautaruukintie 155, 92100 Raahe (Finland).
- SSAB Europe Oy (Hämeenlinna, Finland):  
Harvialantie 420, 13300 Hämeenlinna (Finland).

Color coating either in:

- SSAB Europe Oy (Hämeenlinna, Finland):  
Harvialantie 420, 13300 Hämeenlinna (Finland) or
- SSAB Europe Oy (Kankaanpää, Finland):  
Rautatiekatu 19, 38700 Kankaanpää (Finland) or
- SSAB EMEA AB (Finspång, Sweden):  
Brunnshusvägen, 612 37 Finspång (Sweden).

### Certifications:

Certificates applicable to SSAB sites are ISO 14001 and ISO 9001.

### Contact:

EPDssab@ssab.com.

---

# 2. Product information

## 2.1 PRODUCT TECHNICAL INFORMATION AND APPLICATIONS

GreenCoat® color coated steel products are used in the construction industry, light engineering, different indoor applications, and transportation. GreenCoat® products are typically highly resistant to corrosion, UV radiation and mechanical wear. They provide builders with a lightweight material that is easy to work with, even down to -15°C.

GreenCoat® products, with BT (=Bio-based Technology) in the name, offer a coating where rapeseed oil and other renewable raw materials have been used. SSAB has long experience of Bio-based Technology in GreenCoat® products. GreenCoat® products are free of hexavalent chromium Cr(VI) and REACH compliant.

GreenCoat® products are available in a wide variety of colors and finishes. The thickness range is from 0.42 mm up to 1.5 mm, but availability depends on the product and width concerned. All SSAB color coated products are manufactured according to EN 10169.

For more detailed information about the product portfolio and technical product properties, please visit [www.ssab.com](http://www.ssab.com).

## 2.2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

This EPD is valid for all GreenCoat® and SSAB color coated blast furnace-based steels.

The base material in color coated products is typically metal coated steel. The steel is an alloy of mainly iron and carbon, with small amounts of alloying and trace elements. Alloying elements improve the chemical and physical properties of steel, such as strength, ductility, and durability. The exact composition of the steel manufactured by SSAB depends on product requirements, either from national and/or international standards, such as EN 10346, or on customer-specific standards. SSAB's unique products also have their own specific requirements.

The metal coating, which is on both sides of the steel, is lead (Pb) free and has a zinc content of 92–100%. Its role is to prevent corrosion by keeping oxygen and water away from the steel and by acting as a cathodic protection. At cut edges or in case of damage through the metal coating, the coating will sacrifice itself and react to form a protective compound and block further corrosion processes.

SSAB's color coated products typically have two paint layers, on both sides of the strip, and a pre-treatment.



These are applied typically to the metal coated steel in an automated process and cured at high temperature in a controlled process.

Pre-treatment improves the corrosion resistance and gives a good adhesion to the primer. The primer also protects the product from corrosion and gives good adhesion to the topcoat. The topcoat is chosen based on the product's end application. It gives the color and other chosen visual effects, but also largely defines the product's overall performance. The total thickness of the color coating varies typically between 25–50 µm but can be up to 200 µm depending on the product.

The reverse side is typically painted with a two-layer gray reverse side coating, which further enhances the product's corrosion resistance. The reverse side coating is optimized to give good adhesion for adhesive bonded or foam filled sandwich panels. Where particular technical or esthetic requirements are set for the reverse side, the coating can be selected accordingly. Typical overall reverse side coating thickness is 12 µm.

Content declaration and average chemical composition are presented in section 4.2. More detailed information on the different steel compositions is available from national and international standards, and on [www.ssab.com](http://www.ssab.com). Annex 1 presents the typical chemical contents of SSAB color coatings.

## 2.3 LABELING AND PACKAGING

SSAB color coated products are labeled to be easily identifiable and traceable. The reverse side of the sheet is stamped with the GreenCoat® logo and the product name. The production date is marked to ease material traceability and can be referred to within the guarantee period.

The packaging and protection type of SSAB steel products is specified when ordering.

Steel bands or strappings, wood props, paper or plastic film, corner protection and other accessories supporting packaging are used as appropriate, depending on the protection needed. Paper and plastic film are usually used for cut-to-lengths packaging. The bundles are fastened with strapping bands.

Depending on orders, coils can be delivered fastened with or without a pallet, protected with cardboard or laminated plastic, and plastic or metallic end rings, metallic corner protection and strapping bands.

---

# 3. Production and transportation

## 3.1 PRODUCTION SITES

Blast furnace-based steel slabs used for color coated steels are typically manufactured at SSAB Raahe in Finland. Slabs are produced using iron ore pellets and, as an energy source and reducing agent, coke from coal, and injection carbon. These raw materials are charged into a blast furnace to produce molten hot metal, pig iron.

Steel scrap and alloying elements are then added to the hot metal along with slag forming burnt lime, and oxygen is blown through the mixture to convert it into liquid steel in the basic oxygen furnace (BOF). The liquid crude steel is then cast into slabs on a continuous casting line.

Blast furnace-based steel slabs are:

- hot rolled at SSAB Raahe (Finland),
- pickled, cold rolled and metal coated at SSAB Hämeenlinna (Finland),
- color coated at either SSAB Hämeenlinna, SSAB Kankaanpää (Finland) or SSAB Finspång (Sweden).


Co-products, such as slag, mill scale and iron oxide, generated in SSAB's steel production processes are recycled as industrial raw materials or materials to replace virgin resources. A high percentage of the baghouse dust originating in various processes is recycled to reduce waste and improve efficiency.

## 3.2 TRANSPORTATION

Hot rolled coils from SSAB Raahe are transported by rail to SSAB Hämeenlinna to be pickled, cold rolled and metal coated. After metal coating, the coils are color coated either at SSAB Hämeenlinna, SSAB Kankaanpää or at SSAB Finspång. The metal coated coils are transported from Hämeenlinna to Kankaanpää by truck and to Finspång by truck or train and ferry.

**FIGURE 1. SSAB production sites and main process steps for blast furnace-based color coated steel sheets and coils.**

SSAB Color Coated Steel – main production processes



## 4. LCA

### 4.1 LCA INFORMATION

#### Declared unit:

1 kg of product

#### Reference service life:

The minimum service life equal to the technical performance guarantee time for the respective product defined in the GreenCoat® European guarantee provided that the coating is maintained according to the SSAB's GreenCoat® Maintenance instructions. Both the Guarantee and Maintenance instructions are published on [www.ssab.com/GreenCoat](http://www.ssab.com/GreenCoat) and are also available from SSAB technical support upon request.

#### Description of system boundaries:

The system boundaries are cradle-to-gate with modules C1–C4 and module D.


#### Time representativeness:

2021 for the steel slab production and steel processing at SSAB Raahe,  
2021 for the steel processing at SSAB Hämeenlinna, Kankaanpää, and Finspång.

#### Database(s) and LCA software used:

The LCA was modelled using the LCA software LCA for Experts and corresponding database (version 2024.1) provided by Sphera.

## System diagram:



- Module A1: Production of raw materials and production of fuels
- Module A2: Transportation of raw materials to SSAB's manufacturing site (including transport of steel between SSAB sites)
- Module A3: Manufacturing of steel products and management of production waste
- Module C1: Deconstruction of the product
- Module C2: Transport to waste processing and disposal
- Module C3: Waste processing of the product, to be sent to steel recycling
- Module C4: Disposal of the remaining part of the product in a landfill
- Module D: Benefits from recycling the steel

### Allocation:

Pre-consumer scrap is used in the production of steel. The environmental burden from the use of this scrap is allocated based on economic value by making a conservative assumption equal to 5% of virgin (blast-furnace based) steel. This corresponds to a value of 0.1 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kg of pre-consumer scrap.

Co-products from blast furnace and coke making operations have been allocated based on economic value as per PCR 2019:14. Similarly, impact associated with internal energy generation have been allocated based on economic value.

### Cut-off criteria:

The maximum cut-off criteria established by the PCR and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 standard is 1% of all material and energy flows to a single unit process and 5% of total inflows (mass and energy) to the upstream and core module. No cut-offs exceeding this limit have been made.

### Inclusion of infrastructure and capital goods:

Infrastructure and capital goods are not included in any of the modules covered in this EPD. For the electricity sources of renewable origin (within the residual mix), the infrastructure of the power plant is included.

### Electricity information:

At SSAB Raahe, some of the electricity used is produced internally (corresponding to a GWP-GHG impact of 2.03 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kWh). At SSAB Hämeenlinna, SSAB Kankaanpää and SSAB Finspång only external electricity is used. For external electricity, the residual electricity mix for Sweden and for Finland has been applied (corresponding to a GWP-GHG impact of 0.07 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kWh, and 0.5 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kWh, respectively), however 30% from Hämeenlinna electricity is fossil free with GWP-GHG impact of 0.0046 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kWh.

### Scenario for module C1:

The product is being deconstructed by a machine powered by diesel.

**Scenario for module C2:**

The waste is transported 150 km by truck to waste processing (C3) and disposal (C4).

**Scenario for module C3:**

98% of the product is assumed to be processed in order to be sent for recycling.

**Scenario for module C4:**

2% of the product is assumed to be disposed of as waste at a landfill.

**Scenario for module D:**

The environmental benefit of the recycled steel is gained through the avoided production of primary steel. This

benefit corresponds to -1.7 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kg of scrap in module D. The net flow of the recycled steel being credited in module D corresponds to 0.86 kg and is based on an assumed recycling rate of 98% and an assumption of yield losses in the steel recycling process.

The same net flow to Module D is assumed for color coated and non-coated steel, representing a conservative approach.

**Weighted average for the EPD:**

The results represent a weighted average based on the production volumes for the product group.

**Modules declared, geographical scope, share of specific data (in GWP-GHG indicator) and data variation**

Life cycle stage	Module		Modules declared	Geography	Specific data used*	Variation - products	Variation - sites
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	X	EU & FI	67%	+3% -2%	<10%
	Transport	A2	X	EU & FI			
	Manufacturing	A3	X	SE & FI			
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	ND	-	-	-	-
	Construction installation	A5	ND	-	-	-	-
Use stage	Use	B1	ND	-	-	-	-
	Maintenance	B2	ND	-	-	-	-
	Repair	B3	ND	-	-	-	-
	Replacement	B4	ND	-	-	-	-
	Refurbishment	B5	ND	-	-	-	-
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	-	-	-	-
	Operational water use	B7	ND	-	-	-	-
End of life stage	De-construction demolition	C1	X	EU	-	-	-
	Transport	C2	X	EU	-	-	-
	Waste processing	C3	X	EU	-	-	-
	Disposal	C4	X	EU	-	-	-
Resource recovery stage	Reuse-Recovery-Recycling-potential	D	X	EU	-	-	-

X: Module Declared

ND: Module not declared

\* Covers the steel raw material, transport of the steel to the site, combustion of all fuels and production of internal electricity.



## 4.2 PRODUCT CONTENT DECLARATION

Pre- and post-consumer scrap content is 5.1%. Recycled material content with internal scrap is 18.5%.

Content declaration and average chemical composition of GreenCoat® and color coated products per kg produced is:

Product Composition	Weight (%)	Weight (kg)	Biogenic carbon, weight (%)	Biogenic carbon, weight (kg)
Pre-consumer scrap	2.6%	0.026	0%	0
Post-consumer scrap	2.5%	0.025	0%	0
Internal scrap	13.4%	0.134	0%	0
Metal coating	6.5%	0.065	0%	0
Color coatings	3.4%	0.034	0.03%	0.0003
Primary steel	71.5%	0.715	0%	0
<b>Average chemical composition*</b>				
Iron (Fe)	> 88%			
Manganese (Mn)	0.4%			
Silicon (Si)	0.1%			
Carbon (C)	0.2%			
Zinc (Zn)	6.5%			
Color coatings	3.4%			
Other	< 1.5%			

\* The figures provided represent the best estimate at the time of publication.

Content Declaration of renewable packaging material	Weight (kg)	Weight % (of product)	Biogenic carbon, weight (kg/declared unit)
Wood	0.0024	0.24%	0.0010

The production of the packaging materials has been omitted since it falls under the cut-off limit. The content of biogenic material in the packaging is 0.0010 kg per kg of steel.

GreenCoat® and color coated products do not contain any of the substances of very high concern (SVHC) regulated by Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH) or Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

## 4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR RESULTS

The estimated impact results are only relative statements, which do not indicate the endpoints of the impact categories, exceeding threshold values, safety margins and/or risks.

Usage of results from A1–A3 without considering the results of module C is not encouraged.

## Potential environmental impact – mandatory indicators according to EN 15804+A2 (version EF 3.1)

Results per declared unit: 1 kg of product								
Indicator		Unit	A1 – A3	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Global warming potential (GWP)	Climate Change - fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	2.48	4.39E-04	1.01E-02	2.71E-03	2.99E-04	-1.49
	Climate Change - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	6.84E-04	1.34E-06	2.68E-05	1.20E-03	9.52E-07	3.16E-04
	Climate Change - land use and land use change (LULUC)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	2.55E-03	7.31E-06	8.61E-05	3.66E-05	1.80E-06	-1.98E-04
	Climate Change - total	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	<b>2.49</b>	4.47E-04	1.03E-02	3.95E-03	3.02E-04	-1.49
Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer (ODP)		kg CFC-11 eq	1.22E-08	4.39E-17	1.34E-18	4.89E-15	8.08E-16	2.00E-12
Acidification potential (AP)		mole H+ eq	5.92E-03	3.01E-06	1.18E-05	1.36E-05	2.13E-06	-3.64E-03
Eutrophication potential (EP)	Freshwater	kg P eq	2.10E-05	1.86E-09	3.12E-08	1.05E-08	6.80E-10	-3.47E-07
	Marine	kg N eq	1.62E-03	1.49E-06	3.93E-06	6.24E-06	5.47E-07	-5.85E-04
	Terrestrial	mole N eq	1.72E-02	1.65E-05	4.74E-05	6.90E-05	6.03E-06	-5.24E-03
Formation potential of tropospheric ozone (POCP)		kg NMVOC eq	4.59E-03	2.89E-06	1.01E-05	1.73E-05	1.67E-06	-2.38E-03
Abiotic depletion potential (ADP)	Minerals and metals*	kg Sb eq	1.07E-04	3.71E-11	8.05E-10	2.84E-09	1.94E-11	-8.43E-06
	Fossil resources*	MJ	33.2	5.68E-03	0.140	5.07E-02	3.95E-03	-14.8
Water scarcity potential (WDP)*		m <sup>3</sup> world eq	1.82	6.48E-06	9.13E-05	5.18E-04	3.43E-05	-0.100

\* Disclaimer: The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties of these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator. Note: Biogenic carbon in packaging is balanced in A1–A3.

## Additional mandatory and voluntary impact category indicators

Results per declared unit: 1 kg of product								
Indicator		Unit	A1 – A3	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Global warming potential (GWP)	GWP-GHG <sup>(1)</sup>	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	2.49	4.47E-04	1.03E-02	2.76E-03	3.02E-04	-1.49

(1) This indicator accounts for all greenhouse gases except biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product. As such, the indicator is identical to GWP-total except that the characterization factor for biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> is set to zero.

## Resource use indicators

Results per declared unit: 1 kg of product								
Indicator		Unit	A1 – A3	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Primary energy resources – Renewable	Used as energy carrier (PERE)	MJ	2.49	4.80E-04	7.81E-03	5.41E-03	6.89E-04	0.584
	Used as raw materials (PERM)	MJ	2.46E-02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Total (PERT)	MJ	2.52	4.80E-04	7.81E-03	5.41E-03	6.89E-04	0.584
Primary energy resources – Non-renewable	Used as energy carrier (PENRE)	MJ	32.2	5.68E-03	0.140	5.07E-02	3.95E-03	-14.8
	Used as raw materials (PENRM)	MJ	1.02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Total (PENRT)	MJ	33.2	5.68E-03	0.140	5.07E-02	3.95E-03	-14.8
Use of secondary material (SM)		kg	5.85E-02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Use of renewable secondary fuels (RSF)		MJ	6.72E-26	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Use of non renewable secondary fuels (NRSF)		MJ	7.90E-25	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Net use of fresh water (FW)		m <sup>3</sup>	4.69E-02	5.39E-07	8.94E-06	1.51E-05	1.05E-06	-0.151

Note: Primary energy calculated using PCR option B. As the color coatings are burned during the recycling of steel, PERM and PENRM adjustment in module C is not relevant (option B in PCR-annex 3 has been applied).

## Waste indicators

Results per declared unit: 1 kg of product							
Indicator	Unit	A1 – A3	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Hazardous waste disposed (HWD)	kg	6.08E-06	1.84E-13	7.06E-12	7.33E-12	9.84E-13	-1.11E-07
Non-hazardous waste disposed (NHWD)	kg	0.123	8.84E-07	2.08E-05	1.39E-05	2.00E-02	0.179
Radioactive waste disposed (RWD)	kg	8.82E-04	7.34E-09	1.70E-07	6.38E-07	4.15E-08	1.62E-06

## Output indicators

Results per declared unit: 1 kg of product							
Indicator	Unit	A1 – A3	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Components for re-use (CRU)	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Materials for recycling (MFR)	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.980	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Material for energy recovery (MER)	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Exported electrical energy (EEE)	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Exported thermal energy EET)	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

## Disclaimer

ILCD classification	Indicator	Disclaimer
ILCD Type 1	Global warming potential (GWP)	None
	Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer (ODP)	None
	Potential incidence of disease due to PM emissions (PM)	None
ILCD Type 2	Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance (AP)	None
	Eutrophication potential, Fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment (EP-freshwater)	None
	Eutrophication potential, Fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment (EP-marine)	None
	Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance (EP-terrestrial)	None
	Formation potential of tropospheric ozone (POCP)	None
	Potential Human exposure efficiency relative to U235 (IRP)	1
ILCD Type 3	Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources (ADP-minerals & metals)	2
	Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources (ADP-fossil)	2
	Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption (WDP)	2
	Potential Comparative Toxic Unit for ecosystems (ETP-fw)	2
	Potential Comparative Toxic Unit for humans (HTP-c)	2
	Potential Comparative Toxic Unit for humans (HTP-nc)	2
	Potential Soil quality index (SQP)	2

Disclaimer 1 – This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.

Disclaimer 2 – The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator.

### Variation in environmental indicators

The table below shows the variation for modules A–C where the difference between products is greater than 10%.

Color coated steel sheets and coils	
Environmental impact indicator	Difference (%)
GWP-biogenic	33%
GWP-LUC	87%
ODP	60%
EP-fresh	46%
ADP-elements	16%
WDP	77%

---

## 5. References

- General Programme Instructions of the International EPD® System. Version 4.0
- PCR 2019:14 Construction products. Version 1.3.4 (2024-04-30)
- CEN European Committee for Standardisation (2021). EN15804:2012+A2:2019/AC:2021 (CEN 2021), Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products
- LCA for experts Software System and database for Life Cycle Engineering, sphaera, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, Germany
- Hallberg, L., LCA methodology report – SSAB Blast Furnace steel, as basis for the publication of EPDs within the International EPD® System, June 2025

# Annex 1.

## Typical chemical contents of SSAB color coatings.

In the table, the weight percentage of a substance is reported if it represents at least 0.1 % of the product's weight and has been calculated for a color coated product with 0.45 mm steel thickness and Z100 zinc coating.

Typical chemical content of SSAB color coatings				
SSAB color coatings	Substance type	Substance content	Min [wt%]	Max [wt%]
GreenCoat Hiarc	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.0	0.6
		PVDF	0.3	0.8
		Acrylic binder	0.2	0.3
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.6
		Other pigments	0.0	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat Hiarc Max	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.0	1.1
		PVDF	0.2	0.7
		Acrylic binder	0.2	0.3
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.6
		Other pigments	0.0	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat Pural BT, GreenCoat PLX Pural BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.4	1.5
		Polyurethane binders	0.2	0.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.8
		Other pigments	0.1	0.4
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0



GreenCoat Pro BT/ GreenCoat PLX Pro BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.3	1.8
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.2
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.5
		Other pigments	0.0	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.1
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat TSP Legacy / GreenCoat PLX Legacy	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.0	1.1
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.3
		Other pigments	0.0	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat Mica BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.3	1.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.2
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.5
		Other pigments	0.0	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.1
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat Crown BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.3	1.2
		Polyurethane binders	0.1	0.1
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.2
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.4
		Other pigments	0.0	0.3
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.1
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.1
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat Cool	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.6	1.8
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.2
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.7
		Other pigments	0.0	0.4
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0

GreenCoat RWS Pural BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	1.5	1.8
		Polyurethane binders	0.2	0.3
		Other organic binders	0.1	0.2
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.1	0.2
		Other pigments	0.1	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.1
		Inorganic fillers	0.1	0.1
		Organic additives	0.1	0.1
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat RWS Pural	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.9	1.6
		Polyurethane binders	0.5	0.7
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.0
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.8
		Other pigments	0.1	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.2	0.2
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat RWS Pro BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	1.4	1.8
		Other organic binders	0.2	0.2
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.1	0.3
		Other pigments	0.1	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.1	0.2
		Inorganic fillers	0.1	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.2
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat RWS Pro	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	1.4	2.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.0
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.1	0.6
		Other pigments	0.1	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat Pural Farm BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.4	1.5
		Polyurethane binders	0.2	0.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
	Filler materials	Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
		Natural oil diluents (BT)	0.0	0.0
		Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.8
		Other pigments	0.1	0.4
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0

Rough Matt Polyester	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.6	1.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.2
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.6
		Other pigments	0.0	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
Polyester	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.6	1.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.2
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.6
		Other pigments	0.0	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
Polyester Indoor	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.6	1.4
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.2
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.6
		Other pigments	0.0	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
GreenCoat FoodSafe BT	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.6	1.2
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
		Natural oil alkyd esters (BT)	Yes	Yes
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.4	0.7
		Other pigments	0.0	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.1
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0
Epoxy	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.0	0.5
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.6
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.2
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.3
		Other pigments	0.0	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0

Laminate Foodsafe	PVC laminate film	PVC	3.4	3.7
		Other additives	1.0	1.3
	Organic binders	Vinyl resin	0.2	0.6
		Acrylic binder	0.0	0.0
		Polyester binders (saturated)	0.0	0.2
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	0.0	0.2
		Other pigments	0.0	0.1
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.0	0.2
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
P200	Organic binders	Polyester binders (saturated)	0.0	0.2
		PVC	4.0	5.0
		Plasticizers	1.8	2.5
		Epoxy*	0.0	0.4
		Other organic binders	0.0	0.1
	Filler materials	Titanium dioxide	1.1	1.4
		Other pigments	0.0	0.2
		Organic fillers	0.0	0.0
		Inorganic fillers	0.1	0.3
		Organic additives	0.0	0.0
	Nanoparticles		0.0	0.0

\* Substance appears in the reverse side coating.

